Tanya Prokrym: Clarification of Comments

**\*Current language\***

Issues for 2016's conference / Tanya

- Emails that created extra stress

- Need to look at relationship between EOB, SFC, and Conference

committee

- Not provided with a clear set of expectations about who had

authority to do what

- Didn’t know the right questions to ask

- Sponsorships

- Conflict of interest to have a point of contact be a vendor

**\*Proposed Amended Language\***

I would like to amend the points initially recorded in the EOB meeting during the 2016 Conference. The intent of the recorded minutes is correct. I would like to expand and re-word the six points above to the two below:

Issues for 2016's conference/Tanya

- Recommend re-evaluating the conference structure to clarify the roles/authority of the EOB, SFC, Conference Committee, and Host Committee to manage expectations and clarify procedures; This new information should be distributed and/or discussed with the local organizing committee. This recommendation is a result of receiving email threads that were contradictory or confusing from the EOB and SFC, creating stress.

* The local organizing Committee for Raleigh recommends that the EOB Conference Liaison for all vendor-related activities (sponsorships, exhibitors, registrations) be a non-vendor EOB member. The local Committee identified a conflict of interest because the local Committee felt a need to discuss vendor-related issues with the EOB Conference Liaison, who was a vendor themselves. This conflict created a need for another contact point very late in the planning process.