Tanya Prokrym: Clarification of Comments

 **\*Current language\***

 Issues for 2016's conference / Tanya

 - Emails that created extra stress

 - Need to look at relationship between EOB, SFC, and Conference

 committee

 - Not provided with a clear set of expectations about who had

 authority to do what

 - Didn’t know the right questions to ask

 - Sponsorships

 - Conflict of interest to have a point of contact be a vendor

 **\*Proposed Amended Language\***

I would like to amend the points initially recorded in the EOB meeting during the 2016 Conference. The intent of the recorded minutes is correct. I would like to expand and re-word the six points above to the two below:

 Issues for 2016's conference/Tanya

 - Recommend re-evaluating the conference structure to clarify the roles/authority of the EOB, SFC, Conference Committee, and Host Committee to manage expectations and clarify procedures; This new information should be distributed and/or discussed with the local organizing committee. This recommendation is a result of receiving email threads that were contradictory or confusing from the EOB and SFC, creating stress.

* The local organizing Committee for Raleigh recommends that the EOB Conference Liaison for all vendor-related activities (sponsorships, exhibitors, registrations) be a non-vendor EOB member. The local Committee identified a conflict of interest because the local Committee felt a need to discuss vendor-related issues with the EOB Conference Liaison, who was a vendor themselves. This conflict created a need for another contact point very late in the planning process.